A single three-dimensional chromatin compartment in amphioxus indicates a stepwise evolution of vertebrate Hox bimodal regulation



Hector Escriva Equipe "Evolution et développement des chordés" Laboratoire Arago Banyuls sur Mer



HOX genes are conserved in all Metazoans This discovery is considered the birth of EvoDevo (1984)









HoxC6 and the cervical/ thoracic boundary





The number of cervical metameres is different, but the Hoxc6 always marks the cervical/ thoracic boundary

EvoDevo needs comparative approaches

In addition to their function in AP patterning

HOX genes also have an important function in patterning vertebrate limbs



HOX genes also have an important function in patterning vertebrate limbs



Expression of Hox genes in the vertebrate limb also shows colinearity

A Switch Between Topological Domains Underlies HoxD Genes Collinearity in Mouse Limbs Guillaume Andrey *et al. Science* **340**, (2013);

Hox function in the limbs was thought to be tetrapod-specific because Hox gene expression was not conserved in fins of classical chondrichtyan animal models

HOX genes function during fin/limb development is conserved in vertebrates



Hox gene expression is conserved, but...

The Tetraodon Hoxa13b expression domain in mice: from "distal" to "proximal."



Woltering JM, Noordermeer D, Leleu M, Duboule D (2014) PLoS Biol

How Hox gene expression is controlled?

Expression of HoxD genes in the fins/limbs is controlled by distant enhancers

How distant enhancers may control gene expression?



From Montavon T, Duboule D. 2013 Phil Trans R Soc B 368: 20120367

Chromatin is compartmentalized into supranucleosomal structures called TADs



Overview of Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C)-derived methods



Elzo de Wit, and Wouter de Laat Genes Dev. 2012;26:11-24





Proximal and distal HoxD gene expression is regulated from distant elements placed at 3' and 5' of the Hox cluster



A Switch Between Topological Domains Underlies *HoxD* Genes Collinearity in Mouse Limbs

Cite this article as G. Andrey *et al.*, *Science* **340**, 1234167 (2013). DOI: 10.1126/science.1234167



Woltering JM, Noordermeer D, Leleu M, Duboule D (2014) Conservation and Divergence of Regulatory Strategies at Hox Loci and the Origin of Tetrapod Digits. PLoS Biol 12(1

Zebrafish Hox clusters are partitioned into 3' and 5' interaction domains





Woltering JM, Noordermeer D, Leleu M, Duboule D (2014) Conservation and Divergence of Regulatory Strategies at Hox Loci and the Origin of Tetrapod Digits. PLoS Biol 12(1

The Tetraodon Hoxa13b expression domain in mice: from "distal" to "proximal."



Woltering JM, Noordermeer D, Leleu M, Duboule D (2014) Conservation and Divergence of Regulatory Strategies at Hox Loci and the Origin of Tetrapod Digits. PLoS Biol 12(1): e1001773. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001773

Vertebrate fin to limb evolution



These results suggest that limbs evolved from a bimodal "proximal-proximal" patterning system in fins to a bimodal "proximal-distal" system postulates the transformation of a regulatory landscape from a "proximal" to a "distal" specificity

This implies the transformation of a regulatory landscape from a "proximal" to a "distal" specificity These results show:

Hoxd-11

So

- The chromatin structure around the Hox cluster loci is essential for patterning the fin/limbs in vertebrates

- The evolution of Hox regulatory landscapes was instrumental for the present diversity of vertebrates and evolution of limbs

How and when the bimodal regulation of Hox gene expression appeared during evolution?

How and when the bimodal regulation of Hox gene expression appeared during evolution?



A "non-duplicated" genome with a maximum gene retention and no derived features



Amphioxus, Branchiostoma lanceolatum, genome project



From the National Geographic Society Ricard Albalat/Cristian Canestro/Josep Francesc Abril Detlev Arendt/Elia Benito Salvatore Daniello Filipe Castro Hector Escriva **Dave Ferrier** Jordi Garcia Fernandez Ildiko Somorjai Estelle Hirsinger Peter Holland Olivier Mirabeau Zbynek Kozmik Vincent Laudet Patrick Lemaire Julia Morales Nadine Peyriéras Pierre Pontarotti/Benedicte Wirth Marc Robinson-Rechavi Hugues Roest-Crollius Herve Seitz Michael Schubert Tokiharu Takahashi Herve Tostivint Boris Lenhard Jose Luis Gomez Skarmeta/Nacho Maeso Manuel Irimia

Branchiostoma lanceolatum genome consortium





COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY BIOLOGICAL SERIES. II.

Amphioxus and the Ancestry of the Vertebrates

BY

ARTHUR WILLEY, B.Sc. Tutor in Biology, Columna Collige: Balfour Student of the University of Cambridge

> WITH A PREFACE BY HENRY FAIRFIELD OSBORN



New Derk MACMILLAN AND CO. AND LONDON

1894

All rights reserved

Amphioxus: Phylum: Chordata Subphylum: Cephalochordata

•Genus Branchiostoma, ~30 species

- •Genus Epigonichthys, 1 specie
- •Genus Asymmetron, 2 species
- Widely distributed in tropical and temperate seas
- Adults in sandy and shell-sand habitats
- •0,5-40? m deep
- Sexual reproduction,
- Separate sexes



Amphioxus Is vertebrate-like but simpler



<u>Amphioxus has</u>

- segmental muscles
- segmental gonads
- pharyngeal gill slits
- dorsal hollow nerve cord
- notochord
- pronephric kidney
- pituitary and thyroid homologs
- a prototypical vertebrate genome

Amphioxus lacks

- paired, image-forming eyes
- ears ears
- limbs
- neural crest
- the extensive gene

duplications characteristic of vertebrates

Amphioxus embryonic development is very fast and metamorphosis occurs 1-3 months after hatching







Cephalochordate genomics



Species	Year	Institute	Technique	Coverage	Size	N50	L50
B. floridae	2006	JGI	Sanger	11.5x	522Mb	2.6Mb	62
B. belcheri	paper submitted	Sun yat-sen university	454/Illumina	23x / 50x	426Mb	2.4Mb	51
B. lanceolatum	en route	Génoscope	Illumina	150x	527Mb	1.13Mb	91
A. lucayanum	en route	L. Holland/ N. Putnam	454	2x (?)	-	-	-

Ferdinand Marletaz, Oxford University

A genome-wide regulatory map of the European amphioxus: Overview of the datasets.

Early gastrula	(8h) Ear	rly neurula (15h)	Premouth larva (36h)		
ChIP-seq Histone Modifications (<mark>Skarmeta lab</mark>)	Open chromatin profiling (<mark>Skarmeta lab</mark>)	Transcriptome profiling	Methylation (Ryan Lyster lab)	3D organization of the chromatin (Skarmeta lab)	
H3K4me3	ATAC-seq	RNA-seq (Irimia lab,	Single base resolution	4C-seq of developmental	
H3K27ac		Garcia Fernandez And others)	Methylome	genes	
H3K27me3		CAGE-seq (Boris Lenhard lab)			



CAGE-seq





Already implemented in different databases (still not public)



Collaboration with P. Lemaire



Collaboration with H. Roest Crollius



Collaboration with EBI

Vertebrate Hox clusters are organized into TADs What about amphioxus Hox locus?



Acemel et al Nat Gen (2016)



How the bimodal regulation of Hox genes evolved in vertebrates?

Acemel et al Nat Gen (2016)



We tested conservation of the 3D structure of the Hox locus using 4-C seq

- 14 viewpoints (8 Hox genes and 6 neighboring genes) in three developmental stages in amphioxus

9 viewpoints (4 Hox genes and
5 neighboring genes) in
zebrafish

- a total of 73 4C-seq were generated

- Chromatin contacts were quantified

While zebrafish interactions show the bimodal regulation caracteristic of vertebrates, most of the interactions in amphioxus were within the Hox locus



Amphioxus 4C-seq profiles were similar irrespectively of their position in the cluster and developmentally stable



Although most contacts are within the Hox cluster, anterior and posterior sides behave differently with more contacts anteriorly

This is in agreement with the synteny conservation in the anterior region of the cluster

Viewpoints	Left	Right	Anterior	Cluster	Posterior
Меох	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hnrpa	38.5%	61.5%	71.7%	25.6%	2.7%
Mtx2	30.9%	69.1%	41.2%	42.7%	16.1%
Hox2	24.8%	75.2%	24.8%	75.2%	0.0%
Hox5	38.5%	61.5%	18.8%	80.2%	1.0%
Hox6	44.1%	55.9%	24.7%	71.3%	4.0%
Hox7	51.6%	48.4%	21.6%	74.1%	4.3%
Hox9	46.9%	53.1%	14.1%	83.2%	2.6%
Hox11	62.1%	37.9%	0.0%	99.5%	0.5%
Hox13	65.7%	34.3%	1.7%	88.7%	9.5%
Hox15	74.2%	25.8%	5.3%	68.9%	25.8%
Evxa	66.1%	33.9%	11.6%	45.6%	42.8%
Lnp	73.0%	27.0%	6.4%	35.5%	58.1%
Gpatch8	50.6%	49.4%	12.0%	3.5%	84.5%

Virtual Hi-C shows that amphioxus Hox locus is included in a single domain including the anterior region, while zebrafish contains two domains



If this is true, we should find regulatory elements anteriorly but not posteriorly

ATAC-seq (assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing)



From Buenrostro et al 2013, Nat Met

Accessible chromatin regions obtained by ATAC-seq analyses are only present the in anterior region



Accessible chromatin regions obtained by ATAC-seq analyses are only present the in anterior region





Anterior region







Stepwise evolution of the bimodal regulatory machineries of HoxA and HoxD clusters in vertebrates



J. Deschamps Nat Gen 2016

Thanks to:



Centro Andaluz de Biología del Desarrollo Sevilla, Spain

Rafael D Acemel Juan J Tena Ibai Irastorza-Azcarate Carlos Gómez-Marín Elisa de la Calle-Mustienes Sergio G Diaz Damien P Devos Ignacio Maeso José Luis Gómez-Skarmeta



Stéphanie Bertrand Daniel Aldea Lucie Subirana Anthony Leon



Ferdinand Marlétaz Peter W H Holland



Jean-Marc Aury Sophie Mangenot

